Reconstruction of network structures from repeating spike patterns in simulated bursting dynamics

Hao Song,¹ Chun-Chung Chen,^{1,*} Jyh-Jang Sun,² Pik-Yin Lai,^{1,3} and C. K. Chan^{1,3}

¹Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei, Taiwan 115, Republic of China

²Neuro-Electronics Research Flanders, Kapeldreef 75, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

³Department of Physics and Center for Complex Systems, National Central University, Chungli, Taiwan 320, Republic of China

(Received 9 February 2014; revised manuscript received 13 May 2014; published 11 July 2014)

Repeating patterns of spike sequences from a neuronal network have been proposed to be useful in the reconstruction of the network topology. Reverberations in a physiologically realistic model with various physical connection topologies (from random to scale free) have been simulated to study the effectiveness of the pattern-matching method in the reconstruction of network topology from network dynamics. Simulation results show that functional networks reconstructed from repeating spike patterns can be quite different from the original physical networks; even global properties, such as the degree distribution, cannot always be recovered. However, the pattern-matching method can be effective in identifying hubs in the network. Since the form of reverberations is quite different for networks with and without hubs, the form of reverberations together with the reconstruction by repeating spike patterns might provide a reliable method to detect hubs in neuronal cultures.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.90.012703

PACS number(s): 87.18.Sn, 87.19.lj, 05.45.-a

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most fundamental problems in the understanding of neural networks is to relate the observed dynamics of a network to its connection structure [1]. Since networks made of similar elements and interactions, such as our brains, can perform seemingly very different tasks, it is believed that the functions of the network are mainly governed by its connection structure not its constituents. In general, the behavior of a network is governed by the dynamics of its individual elements, their interactions, and their connection topology [2]. It is straightforward to compute the dynamics of the network when all these three factors are known. However, the reverse problem of reconstructing the structure of the network from its dynamics is highly nontrivial [3]. It is possible that different physical connection structures might give rise to similar observed dynamics. Also, the dynamics of a network can be history dependent (memory) without any changes in physical connections.

However, since the physical connection of a neural network is usually not available and the network dynamics is the only information one can obtain from a neural network, many studies have been devoted to the studies of network reconstruction from the observed dynamics, such as cross correlation [4], Granger causality [5], transfer entropy [6], etc. Reconstructing the underlying network connection solely from the measurement of the time-series signal of the elements is in general a difficult task, albeit it can be achieved recently for undirected networks of uniform coupling strengths [3] or for directed networks with loop-free structure [4]. The problem becomes even more challenging for the case of neuronal networks due to the directed synaptic connections and their dynamically dependent plasticity. One intuitively simple attempt for neuronal network reconstruction is based on repeating spatial temporal firing patterns [7] of the network. In the concept of cell assembly [8], proposed by Hebb as a possible mechanism for the realization of higher brain

functions from interconnected neurons, these repeating spike patterns are believed to be related to the network connection structure of the cell assembly. One of the earliest attempts for the search of cell assembly [9] was to use multisite recordings of neuronal activities to examine the spatial-temporal firing patterns in cultures and *in vivo* experiments. In these studies, the repeating spike patterns are considered fundamental because they represent a dynamical characteristic of the network which should be intimately related to its connection structure. Although the relation between these repeating spike patterns and the network structure is still far from clear, one can still "reconstruct" network structures from these patterns, if the notion of "fire together, wire together" is used. With this heuristic rule, repeating spike patterns obtained from neuronal cultures have been used to reconstruct network structures [7].

One important characteristic of network reconstructed from dynamics is that the reconstructed network structures are only "functional." Since it is known that even a simple network of cultured neurons can display a different kind of dynamics within a short time when drugs [10] are added to the perfusion, the functional network deduced from dynamics might not reflect the physical connections in the system. It is not uncommon that different studies of functional networks in the brain can find both scale-free [11] and small-world [12] topologies. Presumably, different kinds of functional topologies could be created dynamically from the same physical network. Very little is known about the relation between the functional network and its physical counterpart. It would be desirable if there were control experiments in which both the physical connection and resultant dynamics are known so that we can study the relation between the functional network and the physical network. Unfortunately, these ideal experiments do not exist yet. As a remedy for such a situation, computer simulations might be useful. In this article, we describe our studies on the relation between the physical network and its reconstructed functional network from repeating spike patterns in the simulation of a physiologically realistic model [13], which is known to reproduce reverberations found in experiments [14]. Our results suggest that the method of repeating spike patterns might be useful for

1539-3755/2014/90(1)/012703(9)

^{*}cjj@phys.sinica.edu.tw

the local reconstruction of hubs during reverberation if hubs exist. However, as global structure is concerned, such as the degree distribution, the repeating-spike-pattern method might not be applicable.

II. METHODS

Our simulation studies of relation between physical networks and function networks consist of three main steps, namely (a) network generation, (b) simulation of network dynamics, and (c) functional-network reconstruction. We use a network generation method in which the topologies of the network can be changed continuously from random to scale free by tuning some system parameters. This flexibility will allow us to use the same structure generation algorithm for various topologies and more importantly to generate structures in between random and scale free. For the network dynamic simulation, a physiologically realistic model is chosen. The model is known to be able to generate reverberation patterns similar to experimental observations in neuronal cultures [14]. As for the functional network reconstruction, we use the repeating-spike-pattern method which has been used to identify network topologies in neuronal cultures. Details of these three steps are given below.

A. Network generation

In order to generate networks of different structures, we used the method described by Morita [15,16]. In this method, N vertices of the network are distributed randomly on a two-dimensional (2D) unit square. Two vertices i and j are connected if their locations satisfy the equation,

$$\frac{2l_{i,j}^2}{a_i a_j} < \delta, \tag{1}$$

where $l_{i,j}$ is the distance between the two points, δ is a threshold parameter, and $a_i = (i/N)^{1/(1-\gamma)}$ for a given parameter $\gamma > 1$. A main advantage of Morita's method is that different types of network topology can be generated by continuous changes of the parameters γ and δ . Figure 1 shows three network structures generated with different parameters in Morita's method along with an Erdős-Rényi random network [17], as well as their corresponding accumulative degree (k)distributions. From the left, the degree distributions of the networks in Fig. 1 range from scale free with a power of -2.5to Gaussian-like similar to the random network. Beside being tunable in their degree distributions, the networks generated with Morita's method are also pertinent to a 2D geometry, similar to a neural culture, which is apparent when comparing the last (third from the left) network structure to the random network with similar degree distribution on the right of Fig. 1: the vertices that are closer to each other in the geometrical space are more likely to be connected.

Following recent findings of small-world [18] structures in cultured networks [19,20], we calculate the cluster coefficients as well as average path length between nodes for the networks in Fig. 1 and plot them as labeled in Fig. 2. Similar to the lattice based regular network in [18], the network C has a large cluster coefficient and relatively long average path length, since it is similarly constrained to a geometric space while connections are short ranged. We perform pair-rewiring steps similar to that described in [21] to minimize the average path length of C while preserving its degree distribution. The network C_{16} as depicted in the inset of Fig. 2, arrived at after 16 pair-rewiring steps, has an average path length on par with random network D, while maintaining about 80% of its cluster coefficient. We consider network C_{16} as a small-world intermediate between networks C and D.

In the following analysis, we will limit our consideration to the five different networks, each of 100 neurons, as shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 with bidirectional connectivity.



FIG. 1. (Color online) Different network structures generated with Morita's method [15] with A: $\gamma = 1.5$, $\delta = 2.7$; B: $\gamma = 3$, $\delta = 4.7$; C: $\gamma = 5$, $\delta = 2$; and a random network D with similar degree distribution to C.



FIG. 2. (Color online) Average path lengths versus cluster coefficients for the networks A to D as shown in Fig. 1. Network C_{16} , as shown in inset, is network C with 16 pairs of links rewired to minimize average path length while preserving the degree structure for all the nodes. It represents a small-world construction from the geometrically constrained network C.

B. Model of network dynamics

The notion of fire together, wire together has been used in many studies to identify functional connection in cultures. Usually, "fire together" can mean strong correlation or even synchronization. It is well known that neuronal cultures develop synchronized bursting during development, although its origin is still not clear. In a study of using core patterns to identify connection during development, Sun *et al.* [7] have also used the repeated spike patterns in synchronized bursts to identify connections. Here, our simulation is based on a recent model by Volman *et al.* [13] describing the dynamics of reverberation activity in cultured neural networks in which synchronized bursts have been observed. In this model, the dynamics of neurons follows the Morris-Lecar model [22,23],

$$C\frac{dV}{dt} = -I_{\rm ion} + G\left(V_r - V\right) + I_{\rm bg},\tag{2a}$$

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \theta \frac{W_{\infty} - W}{\tau_W},$$
(2b)

where

$$I_{\rm ion} = g_{\rm Ca} m_{\infty} \left(V - V_{\rm Ca} \right) + g_{\rm K} W \left(V - V_{\rm K} \right) + g_{\rm L} \left(V - V_{\rm L} \right)$$
(3)

is the current through the membrane ion channels,

$$\tau_W = \left(\cosh\frac{V - V_3}{2V_4}\right)^{-1},\tag{4a}$$

$$W_{\infty} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \tanh \frac{V - V_3}{V_4} \right), \tag{4b}$$

$$m_{\infty} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \tanh \frac{V - V_1}{V_2} \right) \tag{4c}$$

are the voltage dependent dynamic parameters, and the threshold V_{th} of membrane potential defines the spiking events which result in synchronous releases of neural transmitters at the efferent synapses. Additionally, a residual calcium variable

 $R_{\rm Ca}$ driven by the spiking events,

$$\frac{d}{dt}R_{Ca} = \frac{-\beta R_{Ca}^{n}}{k_{R}^{n} + R_{Ca}^{n}} + I_{p} + S\gamma \ln \frac{R_{Ca}^{0}}{R_{Ca}},$$
(5)

where the spike train $S = \sum_{\sigma} \delta(t - t_{\sigma})$, with t_{σ} being the time of the spike event σ , is used to determine the rate,

$$\eta = \eta_{\max} \frac{R_{Ca}^m}{k_a^m + R_{Ca}^m},\tag{6}$$

of synapse-dependent asynchronous releases following an independent Poisson process at each efferent synapse. The neural transmitters released by the spike-driven synchronous and calcium-dependent asynchronous events follow a four-state decaying dynamics based on a modification of the Tsodyks-Uziel-Markram (TUM) model [24],

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \frac{Q}{\tau_s} + \frac{Z}{\tau_r} - uXS - X\xi,$$
(7a)

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = -\frac{Y}{\tau_d} + uXS + X\xi,\tag{7b}$$

$$\frac{dZ}{dt} = \frac{Y}{\tau_d} - \frac{Z}{\tau_r} - \frac{Z}{\tau_l},$$
(7c)

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = \frac{Z}{\tau_l} - \frac{Q}{\tau_s},\tag{7d}$$

where $\xi = \overline{\xi} \sum_a \delta(t - t_a)$ summing over asynchronous release event *a* with Poisson rate given by (6), to include a superinactive state *Q* [13]. Multiplying by the synaptic weights, the fractions of neural transmitters in the active state *Y* (7b) determine the contribution of the afferent synapses to the membrane conductance *G* of a postsynaptic neuron through a linear sum

$$G_i = \sum_j w_{ji} Y_{ji} \tag{8}$$

over all presynaptic neurons j of a given postsynaptic neuron *i*. Following [14], the synaptic weights w are randomly drawn from a truncated Gaussian distribution with a width that is $\pm 20\%$ of its mean \bar{w} . The superinactive state Q (7d) of neural transmitters plays a part in taking up neural transmitters during a burst of reverberations and eventually terminates the reverberatory burst. In this model, there are two types of noises. The first one is the background current I_{bg} which is uniformly added to every neuron to mimic a noisy environment. The other is the asynchronous release due to the residual calcium in the neuron after firing. The latter types of noise can be considered a kind of short-term memory because it is related to the firing history of the neuron. The Poisson processes modeling these asynchronous events are the only sources of stochasticity in the model. A typical bursting state of the system is shown in Fig. 3 with sub-burst reverberations. Notice that in [13], the reverberatory burst was triggered by an external stimulus, while spontaneous bursting is possible but rare. This corresponds to the regime of high to infinite restitution ratio in our cases where the networks will be silent by themselves.

Note that the chosen residual calcium dynamics and the synaptic mechanisms are responsible for the reverberation (bursts) shown in Fig. 3. The dynamics of the network depends on the parameters of the model as well as the network



FIG. 3. (Color online) Typical bursting behavior of a random network (D in Fig. 1) with neuron and synaptic transmission models described in [13]. The left panels show eight bursts for a duration of about 20 s, while the right panels are the zoom in on the first burst. The upper panels are the raster plots marking the spiking events for the neurons indexed on the vertical axes. The bottom panels are histograms with the vertical axis showing the number of spikes within a sliding bin of 4 ms.

topology. As there are a total of 30 parameters used from (2) to (7) to define the model in [13], we do not intend to be comprehensive in exploring the entire phase space. Instead, we fix all parameters with values shown in Table I, similar to what were documented in [13], except for the background current I_{bg} and mean synaptic weight \bar{w} , which are varied to obtain a network with spontaneous bursting behavior. Since the reverberations reproduced in this model with a random network are consistent with those found in experiments [13], presumably the simulations we carry out here with different topologies are physiologically realistic.

C. Repeating-spike-pattern detection

We follow the method in [7] to use repeating spike patterns for the reconstruction of the network in our simulation. Briefly,

TABLE I. Values of fixed model parameters.

Morris-Lecar model					
V_{Ca}	100 mV	V_2	15 mV	$g_{\rm L}$	0.5 mS
$V_{\rm K}$	-70 mV	V_3	0 mV	С	$1 \ \mu F$
$V_{\rm L}$	-65 mV	V_4	30 mV	θ	0.2 ms^{-1}
V_r	0 mV	$g_{\rm Ca}$	1.1 mS	$V_{ m th}$	10 mV
V_1	-1 mV	$g_{ m K}$	2 mS		
	TUM	I synaptic	transmission		
$\overline{\tau_d}$	10 ms	$ au_l$	600 ms	и	0.2
$ au_r$	300 ms	$ au_s$	5000 ms	ξ	0.02
	Resi	dual calci	um dynamics		
β	$0.005 \frac{\mu M}{m_s}$	γ	0.033	<i>k</i> _a	0.1 μM
k_R	$0.4 \ \mu M$	$R_{\rm Ca}^0$	$2000 \ \mu M$	m	4
I_p	$1.1 \times 10^{-4} \frac{\mu M}{ms}$	$\eta_{\rm max}$	0.32 ms^{-1}	n	2

repeating spike patterns are defined as repetitive patterns of a sequence of firings from different neurons and a link is assigned between two neurons (wire together) if the spikes of these two neurons are linked in time (fire together). To detect repeating spike patterns, a template-matching algorithm following [25] was implemented to locate the repetitive firing patterns among these spiking data to form the repeating patterns.

Since the repeating spike patterns are assumed to have linear connections with only links between adjacent neurons in the spike-time sequence [7], this reconstruction method ignores the possibility of "branching" in the propagation of spiking activity and could likely predict some connections that were not present in the actual network structure. Note that, in [7], spike sorting was needed to produce a vector of spike times for each identified neuron from the time series recorded by a multielectrode array. In our case, since all the neurons are known, no spike sorting is needed and only spike detection is performed to produce the spike-time vector. In the current study, a similar MATLAB code as that used in [7] is applied to the spiking data generated by the dynamics in Sec. II B on the networks described in Sec. II A to find repeating spike patterns for the systems.

III. RESULTS

With the methods described above, it is obvious that, for a network with fixed topology, the dynamics of the network can be strongly dependent on the choice of synaptic strength and the background current. If we want to reconstruct the topology of the network from the dynamics, we would like to create a situation in which the simulation results will be similar to those in experiments. It is known that synchronized bursting activities emerge as cortical neuronal cultures develop and the network connectivity increases [26,27]. As mentioned earlier, for developing neural cultures, repeating spike patterns will appear during spontaneous bursting. Thus our goal is to reproduce spontaneous bursting as in [7]. In the original model, the background current is chosen in such a way that the network is in a quiescent steady state in the absence of input but would produce a single, reverberatory burst after an excitatory current is presented to one of the neurons in the network [13]. Since we would like to compare with [7], we need to adjust the background current to give spontaneous, synchronized bursting. Such a spontaneous burst will be followed by a quiescent state. In experiments, the durations of the quiescent state decrease as the culture matures, while the durations of the bursting also decrease but less significantly. In the simulation, we can use the ratio between these two states as an indication of the age of the culture. For a fixed background current, this ratio can be tuned by the synaptic strength.

To define the bursting state of the network in our simulation, we use a hysteretic criteria: the system is considered to enter bursting (resting) state when there have been more (less) than nine (three) distinct neurons firing spikes within the last 200 ms time window. These numbers are chosen to be similar to those in real experiments. With the defined states, we can measure the durations of bursting and resting episodes. In simulations, for a given background current I_{bg} ranging from 27.0 to 29.5 μ A, we adjust the mean synaptic weight \bar{w} of the



FIG. 4. (Color online) Phase diagram for bursting dynamics on a random network. Colors (shades of gray) indicate the restitution ratio of bursting, while labeled lines show the average bursting period in milliseconds.

network to obtain bursting behavior similar to what is shown Fig. 3. For further pattern matching, we choose the mean synaptic weight so that the ratio between average durations of resting to bursting states (restitution ratio) is 3 to 1 [28] as indicated by circles in Fig. 4. Since I_{bg} determines how easily a neuron is ready to fire, while w_{scale} determines how strongly a neuron's firing can influence others, one would expect an increase in I_{bg} would be compensated by a decrease of w_{scale} . From our simulations, this trend can be more or less observed for networks with more uniform, Gaussian degree distribution (network C and D in Fig. 1 as well as C₁₆ in Fig. 2). In the following, we report the results of our simulation with the parameters given in Table I, while the background current I_{bg} and mean synaptic weight scale w_{scale} are adjusted to give the restitution ratio of 3 to 1.

A. Bursting behavior

For each of the networks given in Fig. 1, we perform simulations to obtain spontaneous bursting by varying the background current I_{bg} and mean synaptic weight scale w_{scale} . Figure 5 are the typical results for spontaneous bursting for a scale-free and a random network. It can be seen from Fig. 5 that the main difference in the firing patterns between a random network and a scale-free network is the reverberations within the synchronized bursts of random network and their absence in the scale-free network. This difference in bursting behavior is probably due to the existence of hub neurons in the scale-free network, which are found to stay active the entire time for any reasonable choices of I_{bg} and w_{scale} that allow for other neurons in the network to be activated. This constant activation disrupts the quiescence between the reverberations and breaks the coordinated firing of neurons required by the reverberation. The situation is especially true for cases of lower background current where stronger synaptic weights are required. This is one of the reasons that we adopted the hysteretic criteria described in Sec. III to define the bursting (resting) states of the systems.



FIG. 5. (Color online) Comparison of bursting behavior for scale-free network A (left) with random network D (right) in Fig. 1 at three different background currents: from top down $I_{bg} = 27.5, 28.5, 29.5 \ \mu$ A. The horizontal axes measure time in milliseconds, while the vertical axes show the number of spikes within a 4 ms sliding window.

The qualitative change of bursting behavior with different network topologies can also be seen in the dependence of the mean burst duration on I_{bg} as shown from Fig. 6. It can be seen in Fig. 6 that the burst duration of networks with narrow degree distribution, such as C, C₁₆, and D, are insensitive to I_{bg} when compared to networks with broader degree distribution, such as A and B. Beside the dependence of burst duration on I_{bg} , we note there are significantly less reverberations (repeated rises of system activity level, as can be seen in the left panel of



FIG. 6. (Color online) Average burst durations when mean synaptic weights of a network are adjusted to have 3-to-1 rest-to-burst duration ratio for the networks given in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 as functions of the background current given in the model.

Fig. 3) within a burst for scale-free network A. It is less so for network B, where the reverberations are quite evident in the midrange of background current (see Supplemental Material [29]). The insensitivity of burst duration on I_{bg} for networks C, C₁₆, and D in Fig. 6 shows the equivalence of excitability of neurons and efficacy of synapses in networks of narrow degree distributions. Both of the networks also retain clear reverberatory behavior for the entire range of background current we considered (see Supplemental Material [29]).

B. Properties of repeating spike patterns

With time series similar to those from Fig. 5 for all the neurons in the networks, the template-matching method in [7] is used to identify repeating spike patterns. Data sets corresponding to eight bursting events of the networks are used for repeating-spike-pattern identifications. This amounts to about 3000 spikes per data set, similar to what was considered in [7] limited by the computer capability for the algorithm used. While more patterns will generally result from a larger data set, we do not expect a qualitative change to our conclusions presented below (see Supplemental Material [29]). Each identified repeating spike pattern is cross-checked with the original network used in the simulation to see if (a) the nodes in the pattern form a connected subnetwork with the original links and (b) the nodes in the identified repeating spike pattern are actually connected sequentially in the nominal network as suggested in [7]. Figure 7 shows the results of the statistics of the identified repeating spike patterns for these two properties. A remarkable feature of Fig. 7 is that the number of detected repeating spike patterns are high in networks with narrow degree distributions (C, C₁₆, and D). That is, repeating spike patterns are more frequent in networks with narrow degree distribution. Unfortunately, most of the detected patterns consist of nodes that do not form a connected subnetwork in the original network. These repeating spike patterns will give erroneous results if they are used for the reconstruction of the original network.

Furthermore, for those patterns with nodes forming a connected subnetwork, only a small fraction of them are actually linked sequentially following the spike-time orders in the patterns. On the other hand, although there are far less repeating spike patterns detected for the scale-free network A, a much more significant fraction of these patterns actually consist of nodes forming a connected subnetwork in the original nominal networks, especially for higher background current $I_{bg} = 29.5 \ \mu$ A. It can be seen from Fig. 7 that the connected fraction of detected patterns for the scale-free network seems to be smallest at $I_{bg} = 28.5 \ \mu$ A. This is mainly due to the peaking of spurious patterns, since the *number* of connected patterns decreases monotonically with I_{bg} .

Based on the repeating spike patterns identified, we can follow the method in [7] to obtain the reconstructed functional network for the four cases as shown in Fig. 8. It can be seen from the figure that the physical connection of the functional network is not only quite different from the physical network, but a global property such as the degree distribution of the functional network is also not similar to the physical network.



FIG. 7. (Color online) Number of repeating spike patterns identified from spiking data of eight bursts for the five networks in Figs. 1 and 2 for different levels of background current. Blue (full) bars in top panels are the total numbers of identified patterns from the code in [7]. Pink (light gray) bars are for the number of identified patterns that form a connected subnetwork in the original nominal networks used to generate the spiking data. Green (dark) bars are for the number of patterns whose neurons are actually connected following the same sequence of spike times in each pattern. The upper panels show the number of patterns in units of hundreds, while the lower panels show the fractions of connected patterns relative to the total number detected.



FIG. 8. (Color online) Structures of the reconstructed networks (upper panels) from dynamics of scale-free network A (left) and random network D (right), as well as their corresponding degree distributions (lower panels). The links colored in pink (light gray), green (dark), and blue (medium gray) are respectively constructed from patterns of length ≤ 8 , >32, and otherwise.

IV. DISCUSSIONS

From the results obtained above, it is clear that functional networks reconstructed from repeating spike patterns can be quite different from the original physical network. The major reason is that branching in the propagation of neural activity is ignored in our method of reconstruction [7] with the fire together, wire together heuristic rule. In our simulations, branching is actually quite important in all the networks studied here. This has actually been considered by Izhikevich [30] in the so-called polychronization of spiking activity. Therefore, we have adopted a relaxed criteria to assess the correlation between the repeating spike patterns and the nominal network structures based on whether the nodes that participated in a repeating spike pattern form a connected subnetwork. This is the minimal requirement for a spanning tree to exist on the nominal network that connects all the firing nodes of a repeating spike pattern. We note that this does not imply that patterns that fail to be connected are completely fallacious, since some key nodes could have been left out due to numerical error in the template-matching algorithm. In the current study, we do not further investigate how the nominal network structure underlying a repeating spike pattern can be recovered from the spike pattern itself.

Also, we have shown (Fig. 7) that the effectiveness of using the repeating spike patterns to reconstruct the underlying nominal network structure is strongly dependent on the topology of the underlying network and the operating conditions when the spikes are generated. One major difference between a scale-free network and a random network is the existence of well-connected hubs. These hubs can play important roles in relaying information and instigate or modulate activities of the system [31]. A possible reason for the higher fractions of connected repeating spike patterns found for the scale-free A and intermediate B networks is that the high degree of connectivity for these hubs helps the repeating spike patterns with hubs to form connected subnetworks of the systems. To verify this hypothesis, we plot in Fig. 9 the mean fractions of a node's occurrences in repeating spike patterns that form connected subnetworks versus the degree of the node. It is evident from Fig. 9 that patterns of a node with higher degree



FIG. 9. (Color online) Mean fractions of node occurrences in detected patterns that are connected as functions of node degrees. The four different networks are represented by different symbols: A: squares; B: circles; C: triangles; D: pluses.

are more likely to be connected and the highly connected hub nodes in networks A and B are indeed responsible for the high fractions of connected patterns. For larger background current, there exists a degree threshold $k_c \approx 30$, above which all patterns participated by such a node are connected. Such a threshold is not clearly evident for lower background current where the synaptic weights are stronger to compensate the lower excitability of neurons.

In our simulations, we find that some of the repeating spike patterns can be embedded into other repeating spike patterns, especially those with short sequence lengths. That is, these repeating spike patterns are subsequences of other repeating spike patterns. These repeating spike patterns are defined as core patterns in [7] and are thought to be more relevant to the underlying structure of the network. However, we find that in typical simulations, less than 10% of all the detected repeating spike patterns are core patterns by the criteria in [7] and only a small number of nodes in the network will take part in these core patterns, especially for networks C and D (see Supplemental Material [29]). If we apply our analysis by using core patterns, nodes that participated in the core patterns do have a higher fraction to be connected except for the random network D, and the breakdown to node degree also shows sharper transitions than those shown in Fig. 9 for core patterns (see Supplemental Material [29]). It is still not clear whether the repeating spike patterns or the core patterns are better suited for network reconstruction. Presumably, the core patterns are controlled by the "core nodes," which are likely the hubs in the network while almost all nodes in the network can participate in the repeating spike patterns as long as excitation (noise) in the system is strong enough. In this aspect, the repeating spike patterns should be more relevant in providing information for the reconstruction of the network topology.

The effects of hubs on functional reconstruction can also be seen in the intermediate networks. The network B in Fig. 1 represents an intermediate case between a scale-free network with power-law tail in the degree distribution and a more uniformly connected network with Gaussian degree distribution. While there are still a number of hub neurons in such a network, their degrees are limited compared to the case of a scale-free network. Consequently, unlike the scale-free network, all neurons can stop firing during the resting period of its dynamics. We see clear reverberatory bursts in the midrange of background current $I_{bg} = 28.0-29.0 \ \mu A$ (see Supplemental Material [29]). Applying the template-matching method, the spiking dynamics of such a network produces the largest numbers of repeating spike patterns in all conditions studied here. Similar to scale-free network A, the number of connected patterns increases consistently with an increase of background current. Still, only a small fraction of the patterns are actually connected sequentially following their spike times. The picture that emerges from our simulations is that although the functional network reconstructed from repeating spike patterns can be quite different from the underlying physical network and even the degree distribution recovered can be quite different, the repeating-spike-pattern method can be effective in identifying hubs when hubs exist. Since the form of reverberations are quite different for networks with and without hubs (Fig 5), the form of reverberations together with the reconstruction by repeating spike patterns might

provide a reliable method to detect hubs in the neuronal cultures.

In the current setup, the characteristics of detected repeating spike patterns in the small-world network C₁₆ seem to well interpolate that of the geometrically constrained network C and random network D. The low fractions of connected patterns can be correlated with the absence of hubs in these networks following the discussion above. There is a steady increase in the number of detected patterns as a geometrically constrained network becomes more random by rewiring. However, the number of these patterns that form connected subnetworks of the underlying nominal network actually becomes less as more links are rewired. While the rewired long links introduce more possibilities for activity propagation, hence more patterns, the neighborhood, cluster structure for a geometrically constrained network can make it more likely for an identified repeating spike pattern to be connected. We note that for the networks of 100 neurons in the current study, the difference in path lengths is very limited for typical consideration of small-world networks. While our results provide a hint on what can be expected, to properly address the small-world effect in repeating spike patterns of simulated neuron networks, significant computing resources need to be invested to consider networks of higher orders of magnitudes in sizes.

Since functional networks reconstructed from repeating spike patterns are governed by both the topology and dynamics of the network and their excitations are the outcome of collective dynamics of the system, perhaps the functional network structure is more relevant to the concept of cell assembly. In fact, Hebb [8] had used the phrase "functional unit" when he referred to connections in a cell assembly. In this sense, the

- S. Feldt, P. Bonifazi, and R. Cossart, Trends Neurosci. 34, 225 (2011).
- [2] R. Albert and A.-L. Barabási, Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 47 (2002).
- [3] E. S. C. Ching, P.-Y. Lai, and C. Y. Leung, Phys. Rev. E 88, 042817 (2013).
- [4] M. Pires, F. Raischel, S. H. Vaz, A. Cruz-Silva, A. M. Sebastião, and P. G. Lind, J. Theor. Biol. 356, 201 (2014).
- [5] C. W. J. Granger, Econometrica 37, 424 (1969).
- [6] T. Schreiber, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 461 (2000).
- [7] J.-J. Sun, W. Kilb, and H. J. Luhmann, Eur. J. Neurosci. 32, 1289 (2010).
- [8] D. O. Hebb, The Organization of Behavior: a Neuropsychological Theory (Wiley, New York, 1949).
- [9] M. Abeles and G. L. Gerstein, J. Neurophysiol. 60, 909 (1988).
- [10] E. Maeda, H. P. Robinson, and A. Kawana, J. Neurosci. 15, 6834 (1995).
- [11] V. M. Eguíluz, D. R. Chialvo, G. A. Cecchi, M. Baliki, and A. V. Apkarian, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 018102 (2005).
- [12] S. Achard, R. Salvador, B. Whitcher, J. Suckling, and E. Bullmore, J. Neurosci. 26, 63 (2006).
- [13] V. Volman, R. C. Gerkin, P.-M. Lau, E. Ben-Jacob, and G.-Q. Bi, Phys. Biol. 4, 91 (2007).
- [14] P.-M. Lau and G.-Q. Bi, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 102, 10333 (2005).

repeating spike patterns provide a convenient way to look at the complexity of the system. While their correspondence to the underlying structure of the network can be complicated by various factors as we have shown in the current study, they are perhaps more relevant to the functional dynamics of the network that might serve to fulfill certain biological purposes. The situation is somewhat similar to relating the gene expression in a cell with the primary structure of its DNA sequence. Recently, a method [4] based on covariance and time delay is used to extract the functional connection between different astrocytes during the propagation of Ca²⁺ waves induced by an external stimulation. However, this method probably cannot be applied to the case of spontaneous reverberations studied here because in order for the method in [4] to work, special constraints are introduced for the network reconstruction. These constraints are related to a single source of stimulation and the directional nature of the Ca^{2+} wave propagation (time delay). In the case of spontaneous reverberations, both of these features are absent. In this work, we studied only spontaneous network dynamics. Similar methods of pattern matching have been used successfully in the identification of the functional unit by using evoked activities. In our current study, the excitations for the networks are provided by noise. If some of the nodes in the nominal networks are used as inputs, our simulation method can also be used to study the repeating spike patterns in evoked activities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been supported by the NSC of ROC under Grants No. NSC 100-2923-M-001-008-MY3 and No. 101-2112-M-008-004-MY3, and the NCTS of Taiwan.

- [15] S. Morita, Phys. Rev. E 73, 035104 (2006).
- [16] M. Gosak, D. Korošak, and M. Marhl, Phys. Rev. E 81, 056104 (2010).
- [17] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, Publ. Math. Debrecen **6**, 290 (1959).
- [18] D. J. Watts and S. H. Strogatz, Nature (London) 393, 440 (1998).
- [19] J. H. Downes, M. W. Hammond, D. Xydas, M. C. Spencer, V. M. Becerra, K. Warwick, B. J. Whalley, and S. J. Nasuto, PLoS Comput. Biol. 8, e1002522 (2012).
- [20] D. de Santos-Sierra, I. Sendiña-Nadal, I. Leyva, J. A. Almendral, S. Anava, A. Ayali, D. Papo, and S. Boccaletti, PLoS ONE 9, e85828 (2014).
- [21] S. Maslov and K. Sneppen, Science **296**, 910 (2002).
- [22] C. Morris and H. Lecar, Biophys. J. 35, 193 (1981).
- [23] J. Rinzel and G. B. Ermentrout, in *Methods in Neuronal Modeling*, edited by C. Koch and I. Segev (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1989), pp. 135–169.
- [24] M. Tsodyks, A. Uziel, and H. Markram, J. Neurosci. 20, RC50 (2000).
- [25] J. Rolston, D. Wagenaar, and S. Potter, Neurosci. 148, 294 (2007).
- [26] L. C. Jia, M. Sano, P.-Y. Lai, and C. K. Chan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 088101 (2004).

- [27] P.-Y. Lai, L. C. Jia, and C. K. Chan, Phys. Rev. E 73, 051906 (2006).
- [28] In experiments with developing neuronal cultures, we find that the restitution ratio is of the order of 100 and becomes smaller as the cultures mature. In the simulation model, the bursting time and the resting time can be adjusted by changing τ_l and τ_s , respectively. The ratio 3 is chosen for convenience and speed of simulation. One could have used a ratio of 4 to 1 or 2 to 1.

The generic properties of the data described here will not be different.

- [29] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevE.90.012703 for more comprehensive results.
- [30] E. M. Izhikevich, Neural Comput. 18, 245 (2006).
- [31] P. Bak, *How Nature Works: The Science of Self-Organized Criticality* (Springer, Berlin, 1999).